

SREB

Establishing Benchmarks for New and Maturing *HSTW* Sites

Updated in 2005 – 2006

Southern Regional Education Board

592 10th St. N.W. Atlanta, GA 30318 (404) 875-9211 www.sreb.org SCHOOL/SITE

COMMITTEE COMPLETING THE DOCUMENT

CONTACT/SITE COORDINATOR

Table of Contents

Vision	1
Conditions Expected of <i>High Schools That Work</i> Sites	1
Assessment Guidelines	2
Understanding the Indicators for the Comprehensive <i>HSTW</i> Framework	2
HSTW Key Conditions for Accelerating Student Achievement	2
HSTW Key Practices for Improving Student Achievement	3
How to Use this Document	4
Determining Interim Benchmarks in Your Effort to Meet the 10-year Goal	5
Indicators for the Comprehensive <i>HSTW</i> Framework	6
Meeting HSTW Performance Goals	6
Setting a Clear Mission and Vision for Success	6
Emphasis on High Expectations, Perceived Importance of High School Studies, Extra Help and Habits of Success	7
High Expectations	
Perceived Importance of High School Studies	8
Providing Quality Extra Help	9
Habits of Success	9
Emphasis on Rigorous and Challenging Academic and Career/Technical Content and Work-based Learning	_
Program of Study	
Career/Technical Studies	
Work-based Learning	
Emphasis on Engaging Students in Completing Challenging Content	
Mathematics Curriculum/Numeracy Across Curriculum	
Science Curriculum/Engaging Science Experiences	
Engaging Strategies for All Teachers	
Emphasis on Integrating Academic and Career/Technical Content	18
Teachers Working Together	
Integrating Academic Content	18
Emphasis on Guidance and Support	19
Emphasis on Transitions	20
Middle Grades/High School Transition	
High School/Post-high School Transition	21
Focusing on Continuous Improvement and Demonstrating Strong Leadership	22
Supporting the Staff with Professional Development	24

VISION

Schools that become a part of the *High Schools That Work* (*HSTW*) network are expected to show progress in changing school and classroom practices in ways that improve student achievement. Schools are expected to focus on practices that have proven most effective in advancing student achievement.

True school reform is not a quick process. It is a continuous effort to make purposeful and planned changes in school and classroom practices that will result in steady progress in student achievement. School leaders must focus on closing gaps in school and classroom practices. By focusing on research-based indicators for all groups of students, gaps will close among subgroups of students leading to a higher percentage of all students meeting the performance goals. **States and SREB** expect *HSTW* sites to show consistent progress until the comprehensive school improvement framework is fully implemented and 85 percent of all groups of students meet the *HSTW* Goals in reading, mathematics and science.

Active membership in the *HSTW* network is achieved when a school satisfactorily completes items one through four in the following list of conditions. Until these items are complete, new sites will be classified as "aspiring" *HSTW* schools.

Active membership in the *HSTW* network is maintained by meeting the conditions outlined in items five through nine. Mature sites have been active members of the *HSTW* network for at least five years. They must complete items four through 10.

Conditions Expected of High Schools That Work Sites

- ¶ Get at least 60 percent of the faculty (including administrators) to vote to implement *High Schools That Work* as the school improvement model.
- Organize a school improvement committee composed of key academic and career/technical teachers and administrators, guidance counselors, parents, and representatives of business, industry and postsecondary education. Name subcommittees to address curriculum, transitional instruction, guidance, evaluation and staff development.
- Appoint someone to coordinate *HSTW* continuous planning, staff development and technical assistance; coordinate data collection; monitor progress; foster communication; and integrate the *HSTW* Goals and Key Practices with other school improvement efforts. This person should have sufficient time to carry out these duties.
- 4 Develop a three- to five-year improvement plan. New sites will submit the plan no less than six months after the site development workshop. SREB and the state will review the plan and make recommendations for improvement. This plan should become part of the school's overall improvement plan and should include staff development.
- 5 Follow SREB's assessment guidelines in assessing in even-numbered years or a total of six assessments. This will provide baseline data and progress data for years between the baseline and the 10-year goal as outlined in this document.
- 6 Use this document to demonstrate significant progress toward fully implementing the *HSTW* improvement design and achieving *HSTW* reading, mathematics and science goals in 2008 and 2010.
- 7 Show evidence that the school is raising student achievement on state assessments, retention rates, etc.
- 8 Complete the *HSTW* Annual Site Progress Report showing the strides the school is making to fully implement the *HSTW* Key Practices and Key Conditions.
- 9 Become an active member of the *HSTW* network for sharing information.
- 10 Participate in a refresher site development workshop to assist in the planning process.

ASSESSMENT GUIDELINES

All schools must follow SREB's guidelines in assessing seniors and must use one of the following six options for selecting seniors to participate in the assessment:

- **Option 1:** Test all seniors completing four units (or their equivalent) in a career/technical area. Some states stipulate that students may complete three credits in certain career/technical areas. Leaders in states having such stipulations must provide SREB a list of the career/technical areas allowing the completion of only three credits in the concentration.
- Option 2: Test a random sample of 60 seniors completing four units (or their equivalent) in a career/technical area.
- Option 3: Test ALL seniors.
- Option 4: Test a random sample of 60 or more seniors. This sample is intended to be representative of all seniors.
- **Option 5:** Test all career/technical completers and a random sample of the remaining seniors. Schools that have fewer than 60 career/technical completers may choose this option.
- **Option 6:** *High Schools That Work* Comprehensive School Reform (CSR)-funded schools assess all seniors or a random sample of 100 seniors at large schools or 60 seniors at small schools, as stipulated in their CSR contracts.

In selecting students, sites MUST follow these guidelines:

- Do not use the completion of academic courses as a criterion for selecting students. Doing so makes it impossible to track the progress of schools in teaching an upgraded academic core.
- Include special-needs students in the testing under the conditions stated in their individualized educational plans regarding participation in state standardized tests.
- Have at least 90 percent of test-takers complete all four test components: achievement tests in reading, mathematics and science, and the student survey.

Understanding the Indicators for the Comprehensive HSTW Framework

The indicators used for the comprehensive *HSTW* framework are strongly associated with improving academic achievement. They come from *HSTW*'s seven Key Conditions and 10 Key Practices.

HSTW Key Conditions for Accelerating Student Achievement

High Schools That Work believes everyone — teacher, school, district, local and state leaders — must work together to align policies, resources, initiatives and accountability efforts to support high schools and middle grades schools as they adopt and implement comprehensive school improvement designs. The HSTW Key Conditions include the following:

- A clear, functional mission statement: Schools need a clear, functional mission statement to prepare middle grades students for challenging secondary studies and high school students for success in postsecondary education and the workplace.
- Strong leadership: Each district and school needs strong and committed leaders to improve, align and benchmark curricula to high standards, to improve the quality of instruction and to raise student achievement in grades six through 12. At each high school and middle grades school, create a leadership team consisting of the principal, assistant principal and teacher leaders. School and district teams participate annually in a series of leadership development workshops aimed at more fully implementing the *HSTW* design.
- Plan for continuous improvement: District and school leaders create an organizational structure and process that ensures continuous involvement with faculty on what to teach, how to teach it, what students are expected to learn, how to assess what they have learned, and how they relate to each other, to the students and to the home and community.

- Qualified teachers: Middle grades and high school teachers have in-depth knowledge of their subject areas and of teaching strategies appropriate to students' grade levels. Middle grades teachers lacking majors in their subject areas are supported by the district to acquire them. The school and district employ teachers who have depth in their teaching fields and support them in learning how to teach well.
- Commitment to goals: School leaders and teachers are committed to achieving the HSTW Goals and implementing the Key Practices. School boards are committed to having all students complete a demanding academic core and either an academic or career/technical concentration. Continuous review of local policies and practices ensures that a strong message of high expectations is sent to both the high schools and the middle grades.
- Flexible scheduling: School superintendents and school boards permit high schools to adopt flexible schedules enabling students to earn more credits.
- Support for professional development: District and school leaders provide teachers with instructional materials,
 planning time and professional development for implementing new curricula and research-based instructional methods.

HSTW Key Practices for Improving Student Achievement

HSTW has identified a set of Key Practices that impact student achievement. Following are the HSTW Key Practices that provide direction and meaning to comprehensive school improvement and student learning.

- **High expectations:** Motivate more students to meet high expectations by integrating high expectations into classroom practices and giving students frequent feedback.
- **Program of study:** Require each student to complete an upgraded academic core and a concentration.
- Academic studies: Teach more students the essential concepts of the college-preparatory curriculum by encouraging them to apply academic content and skills to real-world problems and projects. School leaders need to
 - □ Align core academic courses to essential state and national standards that prepare youth for postsecondary studies and careers.
 - □ Align student assignments, student work and classroom assessments to at least the Proficient-level standards as measured by a NAEP-referenced exam and state assessments.
- Career/technical studies: Provide more students access to intellectually challenging career/technical studies in high-demand fields that emphasize the higher-level mathematics, science, literacy and problem-solving skills needed in the workplace and in further education. School leaders need to
 - □ Develop standards, conditions and agreements for awarding postsecondary credit in high-demand career/technical fields to high school students.
 - □ Require senior projects with academic, technical and performance standards.
 - ☐ Provide students opportunities to work toward a recognized employer certification.
- Work-based learning: Enable students and their parents to choose from programs that integrate challenging high school studies and work-based learning and are planned by educators, employers and students.
- Teachers working together: Provide teams of teachers from several disciplines the time and support to work together to help students succeed in challenging academic and career/technical studies. Integrate reading, writing and speaking as strategies for learning into all parts of the curriculum and integrate mathematics into science and career/technical classrooms. School leaders need to support
 - academic and career/technical teachers in engaging students regularly in reading, books and articles, writing, making presentations, and using high-level reasoning and thinking skills.
 - □ mathematics, science and career/technical teachers working together to better align and integrate mathematics concepts and skills into assignments in science and career/technical classrooms.
- **Students actively engaged:** Engage students in academic and career/technical classrooms in rigorous and challenging proficient-level assignments using research-based instructional strategies and technology.

- **Guidance:** Involve students and their parents in a guidance and advisement system that develops positive relationships and ensures completion of an accelerated program of study with an academic or career/technical concentration. Provide each student with the same mentor throughout high school to assist with setting goals, selecting courses, reviewing the student's progress and suggesting appropriate interventions as necessary. School leaders need to:
 - □ Involve parents in annual meetings with students and their mentors to review progress and develop plans for the next year.
 - □ Develop efforts to educate middle grades parents, school and teacher leaders and students about the achievement level needed for challenging high school studies and to educate high school parents, students and teachers about the achievement level needed for postsecondary study and high-demand, high-income jobs.
- Extra help: Provide a structured system of extra help to assist students in completing accelerated programs of study with high-level academic and technical content. School leaders need to:
 - □ Support all students to become independent learners by building into their learning experiences opportunities to practice habits of successful learners such as study and literacy skills, time management and learning with others.
 - □ Give students easy access to opportunities to meet course standards and graduate with their peers.
 - □ Support teachers in forming nurturing academic relationships with students aimed at improving students' work and achievement.
 - □ Plan catch-up learning experiences for entering ninth-graders who are not prepared to succeed in collegepreparatory courses.
 - □ Work with postsecondary institutions to identify 11th-graders not ready for postsecondary study. Develop special courses for the senior year to get these students prepared.
- **Culture of continuous improvement:** Use student assessment and program evaluation data to continuously improve school culture, organization, management, curriculum and instruction to advance student learning.

How to Use This Document _

Schools should begin by developing leadership teams as outlined in the SREB site development guide publication *Developing Effective Leadership Teams* — *Implementing the* High Schools That Work *Improvement Design*. Refer to this document for more information on developing leadership teams. One overall leadership team, the School Improvement Leadership team, coordinates the site action plan and the activities of the individual leadership teams: curriculum, professional development, guidance and public information, transition, and evaluation.

The School Improvement Leadership Team should assign the Evaluation Leadership Team ultimate responsibility for the completion and use of the following challenge containing indicators for the comprehensive *HSTW* framework. This document should be used to assist in verifying if student achievement has improved and if goals have been met. The Evaluation Leadership Team should begin by compiling baseline data for this report. This team should then involve other school improvement teams in establishing benchmark goals for each two-year interval based on their area of concentration. The teams should work together to update the school improvement plan for accomplishing those goals and then share the results with the whole faculty. The Evaluation Leadership Team will continually update this document and initiate review processes in which the other school improvement teams review the school's progress and evaluate and modify goals as necessary.

While the majority of the following indicators are based on information presented in the benchmark section of the HSTW Assessment Report, additional data will come from the following sources: the HSTW Assessment Report (student and teacher survey data), the Ninth-grade Student Survey Report, the HSTW Annual Progress Report and school-based data (SBD). SBD includes items such as dropout reports, retention rates, attendance information, disciplinary action reports, college placement exam results, classroom observation data and state assessment results.

Determining Interim Benchmarks in Your Effort to Meet the 10-year Goal

To achieve the 10-year goal, schools should establish benchmarks on key indicators regarding changes to be made in school and classroom practices in even years. While this document has been laid out as a 10-year plan, schools are encouraged to use a six-year benchmark. The goals set for 10 years would then become the goals set for six years. The important point is to not only set goals but to also determine what actions must be taken by school leaders and teachers to meet those target goals. To determine certain benchmarks:

- Subtract your school's baseline percentage from the 10-year (or six-year) goal.
- Divide that total by five (or three) to get the change needed each year.
- Determine the goal for your next assessment year by adding one-fifth of the difference between the baseline and the target 10-year goal, or by adding one-third of the difference between the baseline and the target six-year goal.
- Repeat the process for the remaining three intermediate years.

The following example uses 2004 as the baseline year with 2014 as the 10-year goal.

Example: _

Students Actively Engaged	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
Students say they read 10 or more books (or their equivalent) for language arts courses.						85%

■ Difference between Base	■ Difference between Baseline (2004) and 10-year (2014) Goal:					
■ Change needed every tw	50% ÷ 5 = 10%					
■ Benchmark for 2006:	(Baseline % + Growth %)	35% + 10% = 45%				
■ Benchmark for 2008:	(2006 Benchmark % + Growth %)	45% + 10% = 55%				
■ Benchmark for 2010:	(2008 Benchmark % + Growth %)	55% + 10% = 65%				
■ Benchmark for 2012:	(2010 Benchmark % + Growth %)	65% + 10% = 75%				
■ Benchmark for 2014:	(2012 Benchmark % + Growth %)	75% + 10% = 85%				

Indicators for the Comprehensive HSTW Framework

Meeting HSTW Performance Goals

• Raise the reading, mathematics, science, communication, problem-solving and technical achievement of more students to be at or above the national average.

Inc	licators — Meeting HSTW Performance Goals	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
1.	The percentage of students meeting the reading goal of 279.						85%
2.	The percentage of students meeting the mathematics goal of 297.						85%
3.	The percentage of students meeting the science goal of 299.						85%

Source: Benchmark Section of HSTW Assessment Report

Setting a Clear Mission and Vision for Success

■ The school sends a consistent message to students, families and the community about what is expected of students, teachers and administrators.

	icators — Setting a Clear Mission and Vision Success	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
4.	Teachers report that preparing almost all students with the academic knowledge and skills needed to enter college and be successful without taking remedial courses or to enter and advance in a career is the most important goal of their high school. For all students For career-bound students						50% 50%
5.	Teachers strongly agree that the goals and priorities for their school are clear.						60%
6.	Teachers strongly agree that the surrounding community actively supports their school's instructional goals.						60%

Emphasis on High Expectations, Perceived Importance of High School Studies, Extra Help and Habits of Success

- **High Expectations** Setting higher expectations and getting more students to meet them.
- Perceived Importance of High School Studies Helping students understand the importance of using high school to prepare for the future.
- **Extra Help** Providing a structured system of extra help to enable career-bound students to successfully complete an accelerated program of study that includes high-level academic content and a major.
- **Habits of Success** Helping all students develop and utilize the basic organizational and study skills they need for success in all subjects.

Ind	icators — High Expectations	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
7.	The percentage of student responses on five indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on high expectations. (four to five items)						60%
8.	Students report that their teachers often clearly indicated the amount and quality of work that are necessary to earn a grade of an A or a B at the beginning of a project or unit.						85%
9.	Students report that their teachers were frequently available before, during or after school to help them with their studies.						80%
10.	Students report that they usually spend one or more hours on homework each day.						80%
11.	Students report that they often revise their essays or other written work several times to improve their quality.						80%
12.	Students report that they have worked hard to meet high standards on assignments often .						60%
13.	Students report that their teachers often set high standards and are willing to help them meet them.*						75%

Source: Benchmark Section of HSTW Assessment Report

7

^{*} This item is not included in the *HSTW* Selected Indices of Curriculum and Instructional Practices Associated with Student Achievement as reported beginning on page one of the *HSTW* Assessment Report but has been included here as it adds value to documenting school improvement efforts. This symbol will be used throughout this document to indicate such items.

Indicators — Perceived Importance of High School Studies	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
14. The percentage of student responses on nine indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on helping students understand the importance of high school studies to their future. (seven to nine items)						75%
15. Students report that their courses are often or sometimes exciting and challenging.						80%
16. Students report that they often try to do their best work in school.						80%
17. Students report that they seldom or never fail to complete or turn in their assignments.						80%
18. Students report that most of their teachers often encourage them to do well in school.						80%
19. Students report that their teachers often show they care about them by not letting them get by without doing the work.						80%
20. Students report that it is very important to study hard to get good grades.						85%
21. Students report that it is very important to participate actively in class.						85%
22. Students report that it is very important to attend all of their classes.						95%
23. Students report that it is very important to take a lot of college-preparatory classes.						80%

Ind	icators — Providing Quality Extra Help	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
24.	The percentage of student responses on four indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on providing quality extra help. (three to four items)						60%
25.	Students report that they often are able to get extra help from their teachers when they need it without much difficulty.						75%
26.	Students report that their teachers are frequently available before, during or after school to help them with their studies.						75%
27.	Students report that the extra help they receive at school often helps them to understand their schoolwork better.						75%
28.	Students report that the extra help they receive at school often helps them to get better grades.						75%
29.	Students report that they receive the extra help they need in mathematics from teachers at their school a few times a week. *						75%
30.	Students report that they receive the extra help they need in reading from teachers at their school a few times a week .*						75%

Indicators — Habits of Success	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
31. Ninth-grade students report often outlining and taking notes from the textbook.						85%
32. Ninth-grade students report often reviewing the notes they take in class at home, rewriting sections if necessary.						85%
33. Ninth-grade students report often studying for tests with a study partner.						85%
34. Ninth-grade students report often studying for tests over several days.						85%
35. Ninth-grade students report often using a daily planner or agenda book.						85%

Source: Ninth-grade Student Survey Report¹

 1 Not all HSTW sites participate in the Ninth-grade Student Survey and may not have this data available.

Emphasis on Rigorous and Challenging Academic and Career/Technical Content and Work-based Learning

- Program of Study Ensuring that 85 percent of all high school graduates complete an upgraded academic core and a concentration. An upgraded academic core includes at least four years of college-preparatory English/language arts and mathematics and three years of laboratory-based science (four credits if the school has adopted a block schedule) and a concentration in an academic area (i.e., mathematics and science or the humanities) or a career/technical area. A humanities concentration consists of four or more credits each in college-preparatory/honors English/language arts and in college-preparatory/honors social studies, with at least one credit at the Advanced Placement level, and four additional credits in one or more of the humanities, such as foreign language, fine arts or additional literature or social studies courses. A concentration in mathematics and science consists of four credits each in college-preparatory/honors mathematics and science, including at least one credit at the Advanced Placement level. A career/technical concentration consists of four credits in a broad technical or career field or major.
- Career/Technical Studies Increasing access to challenging academic and career/technical studies, with a major
 emphasis on using high-level mathematics, science, language arts and problem-solving skills in the context of modern
 workplace practices and in preparation for continued learning.
- Work-based Learning Providing students access to a structured system of work-based and high-status school-based learning high school and postsecondary collaboratively planned by educators, employers and employees and resulting in an industry-recognized credential and employment in a career pathway.

Ind	icators — Program of Study	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
36.	The percentage of students who fully completed the <i>HSTW</i> -recommended curriculum. (all three subjects)						85%
37.	The percentage of students who completed at least four credits in college-preparatory-level English/language arts classes.						85%
38.	The percentage of students who completed at least four credits in mathematics, including Algebra I, geometry, Algebra II and a higher-level course such as trigonometry, statistics, pre-calculus, calculus, Advanced Placement mathematics or another high-level mathematics course designed to get students college- or career-ready.						85%
39.	The percentage of students who completed at least three credits in science, including at least two credits in college-preparatory biology, chemistry, anatomy/physiology or physics/applied physics.						85%
40.	The percentage of students who completed at least one of the concentrations below:* Career/Technical Concentration, Mathematics/Science Concentration, or Humanities Concentration.						85%
41.	The percentage of students who received the <i>HSTW</i> Award of Educational Achievement. ^{2*}						75%
42.	The school has a computer technology course aimed at teaching students database management, word processing, PowerPoint, the Internet and e-mail as tools for project-based learning.*						Yes

Source: Benchmark Section of HSTW Assessment Report and School-based Data

_

² To earn Award of Educational Achievement, students must score at or above SREB's goals in reading, mathematics and science on the *HSTW* Assessment and complete a college-preparatory curriculum that meets at least two of the following requirements: four credits in college-preparatory English/language arts, four credits in college-preparatory mathematics and three credits in science, of which at least two are at the college-preparatory level. They must also complete a career/technical concentration or a concentration in mathematics/science or the humanities.

Indicators — Career/Technical Studies	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
43. The percentage of career/technical student responses on 11 indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on quality career/technical studies. (seven to 11 items)						60%
44. CT students report that they spend one hour or more reading non-school related materials outside of class in a typical week.						65%
45. CT students report that they use mathematics to complete challenging assignments in their career/technical area at least weekly.						75%
46. CT students report that they have to read and interpret technical books and manuals to complete assignments in at least weekly .						80%
47. CT students report that they read a career-related article and demonstrate understanding of the content at least monthly.						85%
48. CT students report having to use computer skills to do assignments in their career/technical studies at least monthly.						80%
49. CT students report that they had challenging assignments in career/technical classes at least monthly.						85%
50. CT students report that they complete a project that first requires some research and a written plan before completing the task.						85%
51. CT students report that they are required to meet certain standards on a written exam to pass a career/technical course.						85%
52. CT students report that they are required to complete a senior project that includes research- ing a topic, creating a product or performing a service and presenting it to the class or others.						75%
53. CT students report having spoken with or visiting someone in a career to which they aspire.						80%
54. CT students report that they spend 30 minutes or more on career/technical homework each day that is assigned by career/technical teachers.						85%
55. CT students report having to complete short writing assignments of one to three pages and receiving a grade in their career/technical classes at least weekly.*						65%
56. CT students report that they are required by their teachers to keep a folder/portfolio of a list of books or articles read, writing samples and products or pictures of products made.*						65%
57. CT students report that they have to use a database or spreadsheet software to complete an assignment at least once a semester.*						75%
58. CT students report that they have to take a performance test containing industry standards they have to meet to pass the test.*						75%

Indicators — Work-based Learning	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
59. The percentage of student responses on four indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on providing quality workbased learning experiences. (three to four items)						75%
60. Students report that they observed veteran workers perform certain jobs.						75%
61. Students report that they had someone teach them how to do the work.						85%
62. Students report that their employers encouraged them to develop good work habits at least monthly.						85%
63. Students report that their employers encouraged them to develop good customer relations skills at least monthly.						85%
64. Students report that they rotated through several departments or jobs.*						75%

Emphasis on Engaging Students in Completing Challenging Content

• Students Actively Engaged — Having each student actively engaged in the learning process.

Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
					60%
					85%
					80%
					85%
					75%
					75%
					75%
					65%
					60%
					60%
					60%
					85%
					85%
					85%
					75%
	Baseline				

	cators — Mathematics Curriculum/Numeracy	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
80.	The percentage of student responses on 11 indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on numeracy across the curriculum. (eight to 11 items)						60%
81.	Students report taking a mathematics class during their senior year.						95%
82.	Students report taking at least four full-year courses in mathematics in grades nine through 12.						95%
83.	Students report that their mathematics teachers sometimes or often show them how mathematics concepts are used to solve problems in real-life situations.						95%
84.	Students report that they use a graphing calculator to complete mathematics assignments at least once a month.						85%
85.	Students report that they complete a mathematics project at least once a month that uses mathematics in ways that most people would use mathematics in a work setting.						75%
86.	Students report that they orally defend a process they used to solve a mathematics problem at least once a month .						75%
87.	Students report that they work with one or more students in their class at least once a month on a challenging mathematics assignment and receive a group and individual grade.						75%
88.	Students report that they work in groups to brainstorm how to solve a mathematics problem at least once a month.						85%
89.	Students report that they solve mathematics problems with more than one possible answer at least monthly.						85%
90.	Students report that they solve mathematics problems other than those found in the textbook at least once a month.						85%
91.	Career/technical students report that they use mathematics to complete challenging assignments in their career/technical area at least monthly.						75%
92.	Students report that they complete a written report for a major mathematics project at least once a semester .*						65%
93.	Students report that they are assigned word problems in mathematics at least weekly.*						85%

Indicators Science Ex	s — Science Curriculum/Engaging kperiences	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
indic	percentage of student responses on eight cators that suggest the school has an asive emphasis on science across the culum. (six to eight items)						60%
three colleg prepa colleg	ents report that they completed any e of the following science courses: ge-preparatory physical science, college- aratory biology/Biology 2, anatomy, ge-preparatory chemistry, physics or anced Placement science.						85%
them	ents report that their science teachers show how scientific concepts are used to solve lems in real-life situations often .						75%
	ents report taking a science class during senior year.						95%
to do	ents report that they use science equipment o science activities in a laboratory with s and sinks at least weekly.						85%
(othe	ents report that they read an assigned book er than a textbook) or article dealing with ce at least monthly.						75%
equip	ents report that they use science pment to do science activities in a room at least monthly.						95%
more	ents report that they work with one or estudents in their class on a challenging ce assignment at least monthly.						95%
repor	ents report that they prepare a written rt of lab results for laboratory investigations ience at least monthly.						85%
comp that 1 topic	ents report that they are required to plete laboratory investigations in science require designing an experiment about a they chose and talking to the class about ab results at least once a semester.*						75%
assigr a pro	ents report that they complete a laboratory nment in which they use science to address oblem found in their community ast once a semester.*						75%

Indicators — Engaging Strategies for All Teachers	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
105. Teachers report requiring students to work on open-ended problems for which there is no immediately obvious method of solution at least monthly.						70%
106. Teachers report requiring students to work in cooperative groups to deepen understanding of content at least weekly.						60%
107. Teachers report requiring students to work on an extended, major project that lasts a week or more at least once a semester.						60%
108. Teachers report requiring students to do computer-assisted research/assignments at least once a semester.						60%
109. Teachers report requiring students to participate in a class discussion about content studied at least weekly.						80%
110. Teachers report requiring students to use word processing to complete an assignment or project at least weekly.						85%
111. Teachers report meeting with other teachers at least monthly to examine students' work to determine if it meets state or national standards in their content area.						100%
 112. Teachers report that they include all of the following forms of assessment in students' final course grades: teacher-made open-ended tests; projects or practical/laboratory exercises; portfolios of students' work; and end-of-course exam in their content area that is used schoolwide. 						100%

Emphasis on Integrating Academic and Career/Technical Content

- **Teachers Working Together** Having an organization, structure and schedule giving academic and career/technical teachers the time to plan and provide integrated instruction aimed at teaching high-status academic and career/technical content.
- Integrating Academic Content and Skills into Career/Technical Courses Engaging students in activities that integrate academic content and skills into their career/technical courses.

Indicators — Teachers Working Together	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
113. Teachers strongly agree that they are familiar with the content and specific goals of courses taught by other teachers in the school.						65%
114. Teachers report meeting at least monthly as part of a team of academic and career/technical teachers to plan joint instructional activities and to take collective responsibility for student learning.						65%

Source: Benchmark Section of *HSTW* Assessment Report

Indicators — Integrating Academic Content	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
115. The percentage of student responses on six indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on integrating academic content and skills into career/technical courses. (four to six items)						60%
116. Students report that their career/technical teachers often stress reading.						60%
117. Students report that their career/technical teachers often stress writing.						60%
118. Students report that their career/technical teachers often stress mathematics.						60%
119. Students report that they use mathematics to complete challenging assignments in their career/technical area at least weekly.						60%
120. Students report that they read and interpret technical books and manuals to complete assignments in their career/technical area at least weekly.						60%
121. Students report that they use computer skills to do assignments in their career/technical studies at least weekly.						60%
122. Students report that they have joint projects directed by both an academic and a career/technical teacher that require the following:* Reading Writing Mathematics Science						60% 60% 60% 60%

Emphasis on Guidance and Support

■ **Guidance** — Involving each student and his or her parents in a career guidance and individualized advisement system aimed at ensuring the completion of an accelerated program of study with a career/technical or academic concentration.

Indicators — Guidance	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
123. The percentage of student responses on eight indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on providing timely guidance to all students. (six to eight items)						85%
124. Students report that they met at least once a year with a teacher or guidance counselor to help them review the sequence of courses they planned to take throughout high school.						85%
125. Students report that they received the most help in planning a high school program of studies by the end of grade nine .						85%
126. Students report that before and during high school they have talked to their parents, step-parents or other adults they live with at least once a year about planning their four-year high school course plan.						95%
127. Students report that during high school a teacher or counselor has talked to them individually about their plans for a career or further education after high school.						85%
128. Students report that they spoke with or visited someone in a career to which they aspire.						85%
129. Students report that someone from a college talked to them about going to college.						95%
130. Students report that they and/or their parents received information or assistance from someone at school about selecting or applying to college.						90%
131. Students report that they had an adult mentor or adviser who worked with them all four years of high school.						90%
132. Students report that they took part in a parent-teacher-student conference to plan a high school program of study at least once a year.*						85%
133. Students report that they were often encouraged by counselors or teachers to take more challenging mathematics courses.*						85%
134. Students report that they were often encouraged by counselors or teachers to take more challenging science courses.*						85%

Emphasis on Transitions

- **Middle Grades/High School Transition** Building a strong bridge from the middle grades to high school to raise student achievement and learning.
- **High School/Post-high School Transition** Preparing students for postsecondary studies and careers.

Indicators — Middle Grades/High School Transition	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
135. Teachers report that they meet with teachers from feeder middle grades or junior high schools at least annually to discuss expectations, content knowledge and performance standards for students entering their high school.						70%
136. Teachers report that their school is effectively using a required parent-student-school conference to plan or review the high school program of study for every entering ninth-grader.						90%
137. Teachers report that their school is effectively implementing a summer bridge program in reading and mathematics to help selected eighth-graders get ready for high school.						60%
138. Teachers report that their school is effectively using a schedule that allows double periods in reading and mathematics for students who need extra help.						85%
139. Teachers report that a caring adult is assigned to mentor each entering ninth-grader.						60%

Indicators — High School/Post-high School Transition	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
 Students report earning college credit in high school by attending classes at a local four-year college; or taking Advanced Placement courses; or attending classes at a community or technical college; or taking a joint-enrollment class at their high school for college credit; or taking a Web-based course. 						80%
141. The school has a working relationship with postsecondary partners (local community colleges and other receiving institutions).						Yes
142. The school works with a postsecondary institution to give most juniors their placement exams to determine which students are not ready for postsecondary study.						Yes
143. The school offers catch-up courses and other opportunities to get students ready for postsecondary study during the senior year.						Yes
144. The school is decreasing the percentage of students needing to take remedial or developmental courses in reading, language arts, writing or mathematics at the postsecondary level.						Evidence of Decline

Source: Benchmark Section of HSTW Assessment Report and School-based Data

Focusing on Continuous Improvement and Demonstrating Strong Leadership

- **Key Condition** A school principal with strong, effective leadership who supports, encourages and actively participates with the faculty in implementing the Key Practices.
- **Keeping Score** Using student assessment and program evaluation data to continually improve the school climate, organization, management, curricula and instruction to advance student learning and to recognize students who meet both curriculum and performance goals.

Indicators — Continuous School Improvement	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
145. The percentage of teacher responses on six indicators that suggest the school has an intensive emphasis on continuous school improvement. (four to six items)						85%
146. Teachers strongly agree that the goals and priorities for their school are clear.						60%
147. Teachers strongly agree that teachers in their school maintain a demanding yet supportive environment that pushes students to do their best.						70%
148. Teachers report that the principal stresses that they should teach all students to the same high standards monthly .						65%
149. Teachers strongly agree that they are continually learning and seeking new ideas on how to improve student achievement.						70%
150. Teachers strongly agree that teachers and school administrators work as a team to improve student achievement in their school.						70%
151. Teachers strongly agree that they use data reports to continuously evaluate the school's academic and technical programs and activities.						70%
152. Teachers report that their school or district offers a teacher mentoring or induction program.*						60%
153. Teachers report believing a great deal that staff development programs are sustained over time with ample follow-up activities.*						75%
154. Teachers report believing a great deal that staff development experiences have resulted in holding their students to the current national standards developed by teachers in their fields.*						70%
155. Teachers report believing a great deal that they are expected to reflect on what they learn in staff development programs and apply it in the classroom.*						75%

Indicators — Strong Leadership	Baseline	+2 Years	+4 Years	+6 Years	+8 Years	10-year Goal
156. Teachers report that the principal uses data to continually evaluate the school's academic and technical programs and activities at least annually.						85%
157. Teachers report that the principal consults with staff members before making decisions that affect them at least annually.						60%
158. Teachers report that the principal talks with them to make sure that the teaching content in their class is within the established scope and sequence for the curriculum at least annually.						600%
159. Teachers report that the principal encourages them to experiment with their instructional strategies at least every semester.						75%
160. Teachers report that the principal organizes study team meetings to address how to implement the individual components of the school improvement plan at least annually.						Yes
161. The school improvement plan is revised at least once a year to reflect changing priorities.						Yes
162. There is evidence of improved student achievement.						Yes
163. There is evidence of improved high school completion rates.						Yes

 $\textbf{Source:} \ \ \textbf{Benchmark Section of} \ \textit{HSTW} \ \textbf{Assessment Report,} \ \textit{HSTW} \ \textbf{Annual Site Progress Report and School-based Data}$

Supporting the Staff with Professional Development

■ **Key Condition** — A system superintendent and school board members who support school administrators and teachers in carrying out the Key Practices. This commitment includes financial support for instructional materials, time for teachers to meet and plan together and six to eight days per year of staff development on using the Key Practices to improve student learning.

Indicators — Professional Development Provided	Baseline	2002	2003	2004	2005	2006
164. Teachers and administrators report participating in the <i>HSTW</i> Summer Staff Development Conference.						Yes
165. Teachers and administrators report participating in <i>HSTW</i> national workshops.						Yes
166. Teachers and administrators report participating in <i>HSTW</i> local/site-specific staff development.						Yes
167. Members of the leadership team report participating in SREB Leadership Module Training.						Yes
Teachers report receiving more than 40 hours of sta	aff developn	nent during	the past thr	ee years on		
168. Raising expectations for student achievement.						75%
169. Additional study to gain greater depth in content areas.						75%
170. Using reading and writing for learning in the content area and across the curriculum.						75%
171. Teaching students to interact and cooperate with each other during the learning process.						75%
172. Studying samples of student work.						75%
173. Using project-based learning to deepen understanding of content.						75%
174. Using performance assessment (such as presentations, writing and projects).						75%
175. Having students design and conduct research investigations.						75%
176. Using applied learning strategies to teach higher-level academic content to all students.						75%
Teachers report participating in professional develo	pment activ	ities that				
177. Required reading professional literature and viewing professional videotapes with a study group.						85%
178. Required them to do research based on their own classrooms.						85%
179. Required being observed and receiving feedback from other educators.						85%
180. Required working with other teachers who are successful in having students master high-level content.						85%

Source: Benchmark Section of HSTW Assessment Report, HSTW Annual Site Progress Report and School-based Data

SCHOOL-BASED DATA

In addition to the *High Schools That Work* Benchmarks, schools will want to collect, analyze and develop goals and establish benchmarks for school- and state-specific data. Schools can create their own charts to monitor progress.

Schools should monitor

■ SAT/ACT scores

■ Course failure rates

■ Dropout data

■ Ninth-grade success rates

Disciplinary actions

State assessment data

SREB's school improvement initiatives are supported by state consortia, the U.S. Department of Education, the Whitehead Foundation, the Charles Stewart Mott Foundation, the Pew Charitable Trusts, the Bill & Melinda Gates Foundation and Project Lead the Way.